Laws (Congl)

App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1930-31
Laws

File No. 25 of 30-31
Serial Nos. 165

Later References

34

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 165

Part B for

SUBJECT.

Allegations against Dr Jnose.
Bangalore

Allegations against Dr Jnose, Bangalore
Cls — Laws
B

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Petition requesting some wrong orders in the Courts of the Wo- -ficiers sents under Bengal rules

Sent with a draft forwarding the petition to the Chief Ct. for disposal as per order on P&P

EA
22/8 Secy)
28/8/19

?8/9

The matters complained of are interesting. Some of them seem to be scandalous if well founded, and I think a report should be called for from the Chief Ct in the interests of justice.

D. M. 2/9

3rd Member

I think we may call for the report of the Chief Court

4/9/19

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be cipoed, or slips pasted on this form

2433
3/9

1053

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

May I have d.f. copy?

Secy) yes. D.S.C.

To the Regr Calcutta
1525 of 10-9-29
Forwards the petition alleging certain irregularities in the above court—

DLP

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slip the notes must be copied, or slips pasted this form.

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used record]

Law Secy

Please find out from
Mr Sanjivaiah ~~whom~~ the
name of the District Judge
who, he said, drew T. A.
for his ~~our~~ Chauffeur

Mr
18-12-29

Subj.
He says that it is the
Bangalore District Judge
P. M.
Dewan) Sent by the Dewan. 18/12
(i.e. Mr. Sanjivaiah)
He had promised to
send the letter read by him:
I reminded him of it on number
of times, and on 6.1.30

he handed to me a typed letter together with the postal
cover which is annexed to him.
In this letter it is stated as follows
on p. 2

" The District Judge himself drew

N. B. Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must de copied, or slips pasted on this form.

WD 1880—GPB—1,50,000—2 5.21

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

to draft husband's pay and this Motor Drivers
to make to appear in the Banavand for having
received the same

Other allegations all and also make separately
the Sub-group, Small Cause Ch: group & Ad'l group.

In view of the demands orders that the Registrar
may be asked to ascertain the details of the matter
& send a report;
have put up a draft
letter to the Regr. Bombay Ch for approval

D. M.
9/1

Writers copy. Typist
not here? What action
was taken on it?
9/1-30

D. M.
Chief Clerk's Comps 9/1

CS
Any paper
now
10/1

A copy of the petition has already been received and dealt with in Prohn Br.
(R. & G.)

Submd.
CPB
10/1/30

Law Dept wants Compl

H. K. is the draft of the letter to the Registrars
3rd member

D. M.
13/1

D. M.
12/1

N. B. Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must de copied, or slips pasted on this form.

W.D. 1860—GPB—1,50,000—2

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

218/P Dated 3/1/30.

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
3 JAN. 1930
No. 2168

To the Hon'ble Ch: Ct:

Regarding to indicate if the ~~Dt Judge~~ information that the Dt Judge is drawing the despatch leave pay himself is correct.

Mr. Registrar's report is submitted for perusal.

His attention is invited to the D. Judge's explanation in which he says that the man reported is not his "regular" motor driver though all the allegations are denied. His explanation that he is his motor driver though not "regular" driver does not state whether the man is not known as "Dafadar".

The first report may be awaited.

D. M.
20/1

3rd Member

Must be kept ourselves as the truth or otherwise of these allegations.

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slip the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

Anonymous petition, Generally Contain the W. P. basket, but specific allegation of a serious nature like these deserve the investigation.

Draft to Reg. submitted for approval.

3rd Member
D. M.

by
22/1/30

Dewan

I do not like to see one of these allegations against the judges Complete investigation by the Chief Court. The specific provisions for the enforcement of your wishes

D. M.
22/1/30

Draft placed
D. M.
22/1

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

A fresh draft of the
Regˡ as put up for approval,

D. M.
24/1

3rd Member

24/1/30

Seen
D. M.
24/1

237 Sales 25-1-1930 to
P
the Registrar Chief Clerk Imposing
stating that every one of the allegations
against the D.J. Judge, Bang alone
should be thoroughly investigated.

The Registrar's reply of 18.3.30
is put up for perusal.

D. M.
19/3

3rd Member

Seen. My Thadani
some material remarks
Sd. with papers
regarding the small
cause Court Judge
Please submit to
Govt

29/3/30

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must de copied, or slips pasted on this form.

WD 1880—GPB—1,50,000—2-5-27

1033/29 CB. 850.

Weight upto 5 Tola

Ch. Court

CHIEF SECRETARY, CB 26 AUG. 1929

No 17-29-4 RG 26/8/29

To

The Private Secretary

to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

MYSORE.

Justice.

Considering that the duty of the Judges is to suppress force and fraud and prepare their way to a just Judgment both in interpreting law and controlling the Ministerial staff irrespective of their caste creed and colour, an humble subject of His Highness (for reasons better known to the world does not like to disclose his name) submits the following errors which are being committed by the Judicial Officers for the gracious consideration of the Fountain Head of Justice in this Model State and ardently hopes that a detailed report regarding all the Matters noted below will be called for and if the same is found to be true such orders as they deem may kindly be passed.

To tell the truth this is not at all scribbled at a random, but it is after deep consideration, painful thoughts, enough of worry and with full hope of success for having given so much information so daringly and if the informant is not properly rewarded by severly dealing without caring what amount of fat salaries they draw, I don't think that no person orpersons of the type would come forward to open the eyes of Government.

The District Judge ofBangalore Division to tolerate so much of nonsense and to do so

~~much~~ much that too in the department of Justice is nothing but cowardliness. He is meant to show justice to three Districts involving the heart of the state and being empowered to sentence culprits to death proved or concocted to do so much of ~~mm~~ nonsense is nothing but a stigma on the part of the Government and a Ban on the Brahmin popularity.

The District Judge himself draws a duftarbund's pay and his Motor Driver is made to sign in the -- Bareward for having received the same. May the Justice know under what law or Rule this is permissible.

One Clerk by name P.Srinivasa Rao was entertained by him when he was the 1st Munsiff at Bangalore and the same man is his personal clerk now. In ~~the~~ from camps for Sessions he is the sole monarch of all he survey because both can easily digest the criminal batta

The same clerk is to suppdy Petrol etc., out of the witness batta he gets from illeterate witnesses who will be made to put their thumb impressions in the registers and also through four of Police Inspector.

Will the Government at least try to enquire the Number of vacancies (short or long) during his regime and the number of outside clerks acted in their places. To give out the ~~m~~ naked truth, there are nine posts in his house. five posts from the office and on the average there will be at least six vacancies and in that only two Brahmins are made to continue since one year and a half, one being the son of the Pujari of Mr. Justice G.Srinivasa Iyer and the other being a ~~pond~~ Pet clerk of his at Chief Court . Why now that same Stenographer he borrowed from Chief Court the District is trying

trying his best to appoint him in 45-3-60 grade for his qualification only using his influence in getting exemption from Chief Court. (He is not at all qualified by passing his examinations fully and he is a Brahmin Brahmin getting exemption for a special Vacancy) Will the Government give two exemptions at a time? And the other four vacancies, there will be at least six poons who will work in the District Judge's house. Who will suffer?

Regarding the staff to shorten it I am forced to give less information.

Sheristedar is a man of no stuff. Every morning his regular duty is to pay a visit to Mr. Justice Srinivasa Iyer and other Munsiffs and especially to the District Judge's house to win the favour of the Mem-Sahib. His capacity in managing the staff is not at all possible to describe. Why so much worry we can easily term him in one word Toy or Mummy.

Nextly come the most important hand of the staff. The Head Munshi who is the Pet of Iyengar lawyers and his orders are final. He is always addressed as Junior Judge

The amount of bribes he takes the number of ~~etc~~ commissions he gets the favour he possess is not possessed by even to the most reliable clerk of the Mysore State.

One Clerk is made to act in the grade of 45-3-60 since nearly two years continuosly and recently when an accident had happened for his break the Sheristedar took so much favour on Brahmins fearing of his own post did not send an endorsement to the selected Non-Brahmin candidate till a clerk on 45-3-60 grade was made to take leave. Such is the influence.

Regarding the Subordinate Judges I am very much compelled to give one or two instances out of the lot, firstly., Bangalore Small Cause Court Judge who daringly gave such a false deposition in the recent disturbances against Abbas Khan has got two Brahmin Process Servers of 1st Munsiff's Court Bangalore as his Cooks permanently and two to maintain his garden. He hates non-brahmins to such an extent and appreciates the services of Brahmins will be quite clear in the following two among so many points.

The only Kuruba Official who held his B.Sc., in Second Class happened to get a grand Job as Assistant Loco Superintendent of Railways and to relieve him of his duties the trouble he caused the grudge he took will be better described by the candidate himself than by me.

Secondly to help his Brahmin friends however low he might be is shown here clearly:-

When he was posted as First Munsiff at Bangalore one T.V.Shama Rao whose qualification is not even Lower Secondary and who was holding the post of a Petty Dufterbund is now a clerk in his own court wherever he goes and he has been granted Cycle Advance, House Building Advances etc.. and his work for the present in the office is to maintain the XX Register and which always pays. To give him a clerk's post the amount of trouble he took the loss to the Government and the benefit to the applicant is described here on a grand scale.

For nearly 3 years without a day's break this T.V.Shama Rao was made to continue as acting clerk in place of other clerks who were forced to take leave. The Brahmin clerks were not asked to work during the period they were on leave and the poor Non-Brahmins were forced to work during

during the period they were also on leave.

If the Small Cause Judge is prepared to deny these facts the number of all Processes issued (during the period the Process Clerk Parthasarty Naidu had taken leave) according to the XX Register of that Court and Execution applications Register during the leave period of Kumaraswamy Pillay may kindly be called for and perused.

One Narappa a Non-Brahmin clerk was suspended for a period of 4 months for misdeliverying a registered document which had no bearing on the suit and was transferred to K.G.F. Court, and his suspension period also was not ~~intimated~~ intimated to the Recruitment Board for advertising as it was a vacancy of fourmonths.

A Brahmin clerk one B. Ramiah while he was working as an acting clerk in the District Judge's Court was dismissed from service by the then District Judge The same man was again entertained in service (God alone knows how he was entertained) and was posted to Madd giri. While he was working as pending clerk in that court he took off the receipt stamp on which the defendant had signed after taking some bribe to help the defendant to plead the genuinesses of the document.

All the Brahmins high and low made their utmost to help this clerk from being again dismissed from service. He was not transferred or suspended simply because he was a Brahmin. This fellow was given a transfer to Bangalore as he represented some excuse while Narappa referred to above is being kept at K.G.F. alone and is not transferred to Bangalore though he personally

appeared before the District Judge at the time of Inspection of that Court to exhibit his failing health.

RE: SUB COURT, BANGALORE.

Since the Sheristedar of Sub Judge's Court, Bangalore will be absent all through the day excepting some hours the other clerks are made to be in his place. On account of this a trifling mistake had occurred in that court and for that one Arasappa Setty (a Non-Brahmin) was lately suspended for 3 months and the Bench Clerk of the same court (Krishnappa) Brahmin was not even suspended for a day for having lost some exhibits in a pending suit. (The said documents are said to have been given back to the Court Sheristedar by some litigant as having been found in some corner of the court compound after a lapse 3 months time).

One H.T.Shamanna a Non-Brahmin of his court had presented a day's casual leave application. In the absence of the Sheristedar Arasappa Setty was permitted to receive all applications. The Subordinate Judge had suspended the applicant for a month neither caring to ascertain the necessity for leave nor obtaining the necessary explanation of the clerk concerned.

Regarding promotions in the Department one instance will suffice for the present.

The Service Registers of one A.J. KHAIREL of 2nd Munsiff's Court Bangalore and that of one B.VENKATARAO Process Nazir of First Munsiff's Court, Tumkur may kindly be called for and perused and their educational qualifications also may be ascertained.

Regarding the depution of orderlies from Process Department it mainly depending upon the influence

influence he has got. To verify this necessary statistics may be called for from Bangalore two Munsiff's Courts.

Is this the way these Brahmin Judge's dispense Justice?

Yours Most Obedient

Subject..

JUSTICE.

Copies forwarded to..

1) To The Dewan of Mysore, Bangalore for a thorough enquiry & and for necessary action.

2) N.G.Sanjeeviah, Esq., Representative Assembly Member residing at Cottonpet, Bangalore City to the move the matter in the Representative Assembly.

3) D.S. Mallappa, to move the matter in the Representative Assembly.

4) The Chief Secretary to Government of Mysore, Bangalore for necessary action.

5) The Editor, Bangalore Times, Bangalore City

Dear Sir,

A representation has been made ~~to the Government~~ to the effect that "the District Judge (Bangalore) himself draws a dufferband's pay and his Motor Driver is made to sign in the Bata-vard for having received the same" -

I am desired to request you to ~~enquire into~~ ascertain the truth of the matter and forward an early report to Government. ~~about the case~~

Yours Sincerely

D M,

To, The Registrar
Chief Court.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT

Section A

File No. 17-29

Serial No. 5—

No. 1525 Emg—

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

th *192*

To

The Revenue Chief Secy.

Date of issue..... 10-9-29

Sir

by..... M-N5

I am directed to forward herewith ~~for disposal~~ a copy of ~~The~~ an anonymous petition alleging certain irregularities in the courts of the Dt. & subordinates Revenue also

of enclosures.....

I have etc

by Sheriff

for Secy. Emg

ned by.....

Emg 28/8/29

over

RD from Mr/ Sanjivaiah on 6/11 to P.S.M.

To

The Private Secretary

to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

MYSORE.

Justice.

Considering that the duty of the Judges is to suppress force and fraud and prepare their way to a just Judgment both in interpreting law and controlling the Ministerial staff irrespective of their caste creed and colour, an humble subject of His Highness (for reasons better known to the world does not like to disclose his name) submits the following errors which are being committed by the Judicial Officers for the gracious consideration of the Fountain Head of Justice in this Model State and ardently hopes that a detailed report regarding all the Matters noted below will be called for and if the same is found to be true such orders as they deem may kindly be passed.

To tell the truth this is not at all scribbled at a random, but it is after deep consideration, painful thoughts, enough of worry and with full hope of success for having given so much information so daringly and if the informant is not properly rewarded by severly dealing without caring what amount of fat salaries they draw, I don't think that no person orpersons of the type would come forward to open the eyes of Government.

The District Judge ofBangalore Division to tolerate so much of nonsense thinking and to do so

[illegible] that too in the department of Justice is nothing but cowardliness. He is sent to this justice to three Districts involving the heart of the state and being empowered to sentence culprits to death proved or connected to do so much of [illegible] nescience is nothing but a stigma on the part of the Government and a Ban on the Brahmin popularity.

The District Judge himself draws a dufterbund's pay and his Motor Driver is made to sign in the -- Bareward for having received the same. May the Justice know under what law or Rule this is permissible.

One Clerk by name P.Srinivasa Rao was entertained by him when he was the 1st [illegible]-at Bangalore and the same man is his personal clerk now. In [illegible] camps for Sessions he is the sole monarch of all he survey because both can easily digest the criminal-batta.

The same clerk is to supply Petrol etc., out of the witness batta he gets from illiterate witnesses who will be made to put their thumb impressions in the registers and also through four of Police Inspector.

Will the Government at least try to enquire the Number of vacancies (short or long) during his regime and the number of outside clerks acted in their places. To give out the a naked truth, there are nine peons in his house, five peons from the office and on the average there will be at least six vacancies and in that only two Brahmins are made to continue since one year and a half, one being the son of the Pujari of Mr. Justice G.Srinivasa Iyer and the other being a pand Pot clerk of his at Chief Court. May now this same Stenographer he borrowed from Chief Court the District is trying

trying his best to appoint him in 45-3-60 grade for his qualification only using his influence in getting exemption from Chief Court. (He is not at all qualified - by passing his examinations fully and he is a Brahmin Brahmin getting exemption for a special Vacancy) Will the Government give two exemptions at a time? And the other four vacancies, there will be at least six peons who will work in the District Judge's house. Who will suffer?

Regarding the staff to shorten it I am forced to give less information.

Sheristedar is a man of no stuff. Every morning his regular duty is to pay a visit to Mr. Justice Srinivasa Iyer and other Munsiffs and especially to the District Judge's house to win the favour of the Hom-Sahib. His capacity in managing the staff is not at all possible to describe. Why so much worry we can easily term him in one word Toy or Dummy.

Next come the most important hand of the staff.. The Head Munshi who is the Pet of Iyengar Lawyers and his orders are final. He is always addressed as Junior Judge

The amount of bribes he takes the number of commissions he gets the favour he possess is not possessed by even to the most reliable clerk of the Mysore State.

One Clerk is made to act in the grade of 45-3-60 since nearly two years continuously and recently when an accident had happened for his break the Sheristedar took so much favour on Brahmins fearing of his own post did not send an endorsement to the selected Non-Brahmin candidate till a clerk on 45-3-60 grade was made to take leave. Such is the influence.

Regarding the Subordinate Judges I am very much compelled to give one or two instances out of the lot, firstly., Bangalore Small Cause Court Judge who daringly gave such a false deposition in the recent disturbances against Abbas Khan has got two Brahmin Process Servers o f 1st Munsiff's Court Bangalore as his Cooks permanently and two to maintain his garden. He hates non-brahmins to such an extent and appreciates the services of Brahmins will be quite clear in the following two cases as many points.,

The only Ram Kuruba Official who held his B.Sc., in Second Class happened to get a Gazetted Job as Assistant Loco Superintendent ex Mysore and so relieve him of his duties the t trouble he caused the grudge he took will be better described by the candidate himself than by me.

Secondly to help his Brahmin friends however low he ight be is shown here clearly:-

When he was posted as First Munsiff at Bangalore one T.V.Sharma Rao whose qualification is not even Lower Secondary and who was holding the post of a Petty Draftsman is now a clerk in his own court wherever he goes and he has been granted Cycle Advance, House Building Advances etc., and his work for the present in the office is to maintain the XX Register and which always pays. To give him a clerk's post the amount of trouble he took the loss to the Government and the benefit to the applicant is described here on a grand scale.

For nearly 3 years without a day's break this T.V.Sharma Rao was made to continue as acting clerk in place of other clerks who were forced to take leave. The Brahmin clerks were not asked to work during the period they were on leave and the poor Non-Brahmins were forced to work during

during the period they were also on leave.

If the Small Cause Judge is prepared to deny these facts the number of all Processes issued (during the period the Process Clerk Parthasarty Naidu had taken leave) according to the XX Register of that Court and Execution applications Register during the leave period of Kumaraswamy Pillay may kindly be called for and perused.

One Narappa a Non-Brahmin clerk was suspended for a period of 4 months for misdelivering a registered document which had no bearing on the suit and was transferred to K.G.F. Court, and his suspension period also was not ~~mentioned~~ intimated to the Recruitment Board for advertising as it was a vacancy of fourmonths.

A Brahmin clerk one S. Ramiah while he was working as an acting clerk in the Districts Judge's Court was dismissed from service by the then District Judge. The same man was again entertained in service (God alone knows how he was entertained) and was posted to Kadiri. While he was working as pending clerk in that court he took off the receipt stamp on which the defendant had signed after taking some bribe to help the defendant to plead the genuineness of the document.

All the Brahmins high and low made their utmost to help this clerk from being again dismissed from service. He was not transferred or suspended simply because he was a Brahmin. This fellow was given a transfer to Bangalore as he represented some excuse while Narappa referred to above is being kept at K.G.F. alone and is not transferred to Bangalore though he personally

appeared before the District Judge at the time of Inspection of that Court to exhibit his failing health.

RE: SUB COURT, BANGALORE.

Since the Sheristedar of Sub Judge's Court, Bangalore will be absent all through the day excepting some hours the other clerks are made to be in his place. On account of this a trifling mistake had occurred in that court and for that one Aranappa Setty (a Non-Brahmin) was lately suspended for 3 months and the Bench Clerk of the same court (Krishnappa) Brahmin was not even suspended for a day for having lost some exhibits in a pending suit. (The said documents are said to have been given back to the Court Sheristedar by some litigant as having been [illegible] found in some corner of the court compound after a lapse 3 months time).

One [illegible] a Non-Brahmin of his court had presented a day's casual leave application. In the absence of the Sheristedar Aranappa Setty was permitted to receive all applications. The Subordinate Judge had suspended the applicant for a month neither caring to ascertain the necessity for leave nor obtaining the necessary explanation of the clerk concerned.

Regarding promotions in the Department one instance will suffice for the present.

The Service Registers of one A.J. KHALEEL of 2nd Munsiff's Court Bangalore and that of one B.VENKATARAO Process Nasir of First Munsiff's Court, Tumkur may kindly be called for and perused and their educational qualifications also may be ascertained.

Regarding the deputation of ordinlies from Process Department [illegible] it mainly depending upon the influence

influence he has got. To verify this necessary statistics may be called for from Bangalore two Munsiff's Courts.

Is this the way those Brahmin Judge's dispense Justice?

Yours Most Obedient

Subject.,

JUSTICE.

Copies forwarded to.,

1) To The Dewan of Mysore, Bangalore for a thorough enquiry and for necessary action.

2) H.C.Sanjeevaiah, Esq., Representative Assembly Member residing at Cottonpet, Bangalore City to the move the matter in the Representative Assembly.

3) D.S. Hallappa, to move the matter in the Representative Assembly.

4) The Chief Secretary to Government of Mysore, Bangalore for necessary action.

5) The Editor, Bangalore Times, Bangalore City.

2/8
P

13/1/30

Confdl

Dear Sir,

A representation has been made to the effect that "the District Judge (Bangalore) himself draws a dufterband's pay and his Motor Driver is made to sign in the Baravard for having received the same."

I am desired to request ~~you to ascertain~~ the truth of the matter and ~~forward an early report~~ to Government.

Yours sincerely,

To

The Registrar,
Chief Court of Mysore.

CONFIDENTIAL.

BANGALORE,

C. No.764/29-30. *Dated*...18th..March..1930.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O. No.237/P dated 25th January 1930 and in continuation of my D.O. letter No. R.O.C. 764 of 29-30 dated 18th idem in the matter or an anonymous petition addressed to the Private Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja alleging certain irregularities in the Courts of the District Judge, the Small Cause Judge and the Subordinate Judge, Bangalore, I am desired to state that Mr. Justice D. K. Rama Rao who recently inspected the three courts in question went fully into the matter and his opinion concurred in by the other Judges is herein enclosed.

Yours sincerely,

To

P. MAHADEVAYYA, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to Government,

Law Department,

B A N G A L O R E.

Subject as in the petition.

O P I N I O N.

District & Sessions Judge's Court.

The District Judge himself drawing Dufterband's pay.

There is no private motor driver who draws the Dufterband's pay. One Mohamed Gouse a Government peon and home orderly who cleans the District Judge's car, was temporarily promoted as Dufterband in the seriatum arrangements when filling up short term vacancies of 15 days to 2 months.

Re. Clerk P. Sreenivasa Rao.

P. Sreenivasa Rao was not entertained in service when Mr. A. Sreenivasaragavachari was the First Munsiff. There is no personal clerk to the Sessions Judge. P. Sreenivasa Rao is one of the clerks that attends the camp during Sessions, but not invariably. His character is certified to be good. The batta is paid in the presence of the Sessions Judge and there is no reason whatever to think that the signatories did not receive the amounts. Some of them even refused to receive the amount tendered as being on a low scale, filed petitions for higher rates and took increased amounts later on upon enquiry. The District Judge buys petrol in a firm in Bangalore and there is no foundation for the allegation that any batta is used for the purpose.

Number of vacancies and mode of filling them up.

No peons are entertained as clerks. Out of 47 short term leave vacancies, 13 were filled up by arrangements in the office itself. The Brahmin clerk referred to is Narayana Sastry a first year B.A. who being qualified was kept on from May 1928 and confirmed recently.

The stenographer referred to is Subramanyam on Rs.30-2-40. He was not taken to the District Court at the instance of the District Judge, but was sent by the Chief Court as a result of - arrangements that had to be made in connection with the transfer of Clerk Mr. Bhima Rao from the District Court, Bangalore, to the Mysore Division. Subramanyam is not confirmed as stenographer. The Chief Court having refused sanction to confirm Subramanyam as stenographer, a Vaisya clerk Gangadharan is appointed.

Re. The Sheristedar.

Too sweeping and vague. The visits to the District Judge's house are occasional and are in connection with the official duties. The - Sheristedar Mr. A. P. Sabapathy Mudaliar is a - qualified officer of experience having worked in the subordinate courts as well as in the Chief - Court.

Re. The Head Munshi.

The Head Munshi Mr. Rajagopaliengar was a clerk for years in the Chief Court and he is now in the District Court. It is only in three out of 13 cases he was given commissions; the rest have gone to legal practitioners and others.

Re. One clerk acting in the grade 45-3-60 continuously for two years.

The Brahmin clerk is Thippayya. There was some delay in preparing the order of appointment referred to and its despatch. The appointment was sanctioned on 9-7-1929; the order was prepared on 13-7-1929 which is a Saturday; it was got signed by the Judge on 15th Monday following and was despatched on the same day. The delay is due to slackness in office and could have been - avoided.

avoided. It has no bearing however on Thippavva's appointment and no motive can be attributed.

Small Cause Court.

Re. Employment of Process Servers as Cooks and gardeners.

There is no room to think that the Servers were employed as gardeners or cooks to the prejudice of their legitimate duties.

In the matter of relieving one Kuruba official of his duties while proceeding to join a new appointment.

The official referred to is Mr. Venkataswami a clerk on Rs.45-3-60. He put in an application on 29-5-1929 with specific prayer to be relieved on 6th June 1929. The application was forwarded to the District Judge, and after obtaining his sanction the clerk was relieved on 5th June 1929 in accordance with his own application. There can be no room for complaint.

Re. Clerk T.V.Shama Rao.

Shama Rao was not started in service by Mr. S. R. Ramaswami Iyer. It is true he was transferred to the Small Cause Court at Mr. S. R. Ramaswami Iyer's request. He has been kept on continuously as an acting clerk, but there is no force or compulsion used towards the clerks who applied for leave on full pay to which they were entitled, for the benefit of both themselves as well as Shama Rao who was friendly to them and helpful to them in their work. Shama Rao has taken cycle advance but not house building advance; the former was done in usual course according to rules.

Re. Clerk Marappa's vacancy.

Marappa's vacancy for 4 months was not advertised. It is explained that the rule requiring

requiring publication of vacancies of over three months was lost sight of more because the copy of order changing the rule was not received in the office.

Re. Dismissal of one B. Ramiah, acting clerk.

There is no reference showing that B. Ramiah was dismissed. He was entertained by the Chief Court long ago. The entry written by Mr. Basavaraj Urs in the character-book shows that the allegation about the removal of stamp is not well founded.

Subordinate Judge's Court.

Re. Frequent absence of the Sheristedar from his seat.

It is not correct to say that the Sheristedar was absent all through the day excepting some hours. But once he seems to have been coming a little late to the office; the Judge took suitable notice and issued instructions.

Arasappa Setty seems to have been an official who by the nature of his work and conduct constantly gave room for admonition. The punishment was imposed with the concurrence of the District Judge.

The presiding Judge found on enquiry that the incident was due to the mischief of others. But Krishnappa should have been careful with regard to the papers in his custody.

Re. Suspension of clerk H. T. Shamanna.

It is not for asking leave that H. T. Shamanna was suspended. He went away without permission leaving a large amount of arrears of work which was considered to be against discipline. The punishment imposed was severe; the District Judge set it aside.

Re. Clerks A.J.Khaleel and B. Venkata Rao.

These are long standing clerks who entered service years ago.

Re. Deputation of Process orderlies.

Only the prescribed number of servers are posted for guard duty in rotation making allowance for servers newly entertained who have yet to learn work and therefore cannot be entrusted with a large number of processes at once.

REGISTRAR.

TKV/2.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

237/P Dated 25-1-30

Dear Sir

With reference to your marginal
note regarding a Cyprus ref
of 18.1.30, I am directed to
request that every one of the
allegations contained in the
petition may be carefully,
thoroughly investigated by this
Court & the opinion of
the Judges furnished early for
the information of Govt
Cyprus &c &c

1) No. P. 15.25. of 10.9.29
2) " P. 218 of 13.1.30

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

3466 of 29

CONFIDENTIAL. R.O.C.No. 764 29-30

C-B-

BANGALORE,

Dated 18th January 1930

Dear Sir,

I am desired to acknowledge the receipt of your confidential D.O. letter No.218/P. dated the 13th instant requesting that the truth regarding the representation "that the District Judge (Bangalore) himself draws a dafterband's pay and his motor driver is made to sign in the Baravard for having received the same" may be ascertained and the result communicated to Government.

In reply, I am to state that this allegation formed the subject matter of a paragraph in the anonymous petition of "Justice" made to the Private Secretary to H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, a copy of which was forwarded to this office with your letter No.P.1525 Cts. dated the 10th September 1929. On receipt thereof, the District Judge was asked to submit his explanation in the matter and the District Judge in his reply dated the 11th/14th December 1929 stated in respect of this

allegation as follows :--

> "The allegations are all false. I do not draw dafterband's pay. I drive my own car. Mahomed Ghouse perhaps the man referred to is not my regular motor driver. He was transferred to this Court from Chief Court where he was a peon".

On perusal of the District Judge's reply, the Chief Court considered that the matter might await final disposal till the inspection of the Court, which would probably come off next month, takes place.

Yours Sincerely,

Krishnaswamy

P. Mahadevayya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to Govt., Law Department,
B a n g a l o r e.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Strictly confidential

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

Date of issue.....

Dear Sir,

With reference to Your Confidential D.O R.O.C.No: 764/29-3 dated 18th January 1930 Regarding certain allegations against the Dt Judge, Bangalore I am directed to request that every one of these allegations may kindly be carefully and thoroughly investigated by the Chief Court and the opinion of the Judges furnished for the early information of Government.

Yours faithfully

Signed by.....

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

WD 063—GPB—20,000—1-8-29